

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/920,998	08/02/2001	Jonathan Swift Stinson	S63.2-9918	5817	
490	7590 11/05/2003		EXAMINER		
•	RETT & STEINKRAU CIRCLE DRIVE	HO, UYEN T			
SUITE 2000	CIRCLE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•	·		Application No. Applicant(s)					
.4		09/920,9	98	STINSON, JONATHAN SWIFT				
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit				
		(Jackie) T	an-Uyen T. Ho	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 October 2003.							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is	non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	· · · 							
	Claim(s) <u>43-48</u> is/are rejected.							
i	•							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers	and/or election r	equirement.					
· · ·	•	miner						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
.0,								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(Il Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/920,998

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention II in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 43-48 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 43, lines 3, "radiopaque portions" should be "radiopaque regions". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Candelaria et al. (6,497,646). Candelaria et al. disclose an intravascular device including first radiopaque region being different than a second radiopaque region (col. 5, lines 54 to col. 6, line 15).

1 %

Application/Control Number: 09/920,998

Art Unit: 3731

CI im Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 44-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Candelaria et al. '646 in view of Mawad (5,498,227). Candelaria et al. disclose a radiotherapy implant comprising all the limitations as claimed except that the radiotherapy implant is not in form of a stent. Mawad disclose a radiotherapy implant may be made in form of an expandable stent to deliver the intended radiation as well as to maintain the patency of, for example, a partially occluded, stenotic or strictured duct, vessel, or graining system of a patient's body (col. 4, lines 27-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Candelaria et al.'s radiotherapy implant by forming the implant in form of a stent in order to deliver the intended radiation as well as to maintain the patency of, for example, a partially occluded, stenotic or strictured duct, vessel, or graining system of a patient's body.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6,428,462 Mawad disclose a radiotherapy implant; Goicoechea

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/920,998

Art Unit: 3731

et al. (5,916,263) disclose a stent having radiographic indicia having different radiopacity from the stent body.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731 October 31, 2003